1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	James M. Ledakis		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General CARL W. SONNE		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 116253		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-3164 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		1	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 2013-253	
13	ROBERT CARINO MARTINEZ 2819 Hidden Valley Court	ACCUSATION	
14	Spring Valley, CA 91977		
15	Registered Nurse License No. 611057		
16	Respondent.	·	
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	PARTIES		
20	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about December 20, 2002, the	Board of Registered Nursing issued Registered	
24	Nurse License Number 611057 to Robert Carino	Martinez (Respondent). The Registered Nurse	
25	License was in full force and effect at all times r	elevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on July 31, 2014, unless renewed.		
27	111		
28	111		

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Registered Nursing (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

- 4. Section 2750 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 5. Section 2764 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b) of the Code, the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

6. Section 2725 of the Code states:

(b) The practice of nursing within the meaning of this chapter means those functions, including basic health care, that help people cope with difficulties in daily living that are associated with their actual or potential health or illness problems or the treatment thereof, and that require a substantial amount of scientific knowledge or technical skill, including all of the following:

(2) Direct and indirect patient care services, including, but not limited to, the administration of medications and therapeutic agents, necessary to implement a treatment, disease prevention, or rehabilitative regimen ordered by and within the scope of licensure of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, or clinical psychologist, as defined by Section 1316.5 of the Health and Safety Code.

7. Section 2761 of the Code states:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1	(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.	
2	neembed harding rancerous.	
3		
4	(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act] or regulations adopted pursuant to it.	
5	[the Parsing Practice Act] of regulations adopted pursuant to it.	
6		
7	8. Section 2762 of the Code states:	
· 8 · 9	of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person	
10	••••	
11	(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible	
12	entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.	
13	REGULATORY PROVISIONS	
14	9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:	
15	As used in Section 2761 of the code, "gross negligence" includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have	
16 17	ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide	
18	care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life.	
	10. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, states:	
19	10. Camorina Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1443, states.	
20	As used in Section 2761 of the code, "incompetence" means the lack of possession of or the failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and	
21	experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse as described in Section 1443.5.	
22		
23	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443.5 states:	
24	A registered nurse shall be considered to be competent when he/she	
25	consistently demonstrates the ability to transfer scientific knowledge from social, biological and physical sciences in applying the nursing process, as follows:	
26	(1) Formulates a nursing diagnosis through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, and through interpretation of information obtained from the	
27	condition and benavior, and through interpretation of information obtained from the client and others, including the health team.	
28		

- (2) Formulates a care plan, in collaboration with the client, which ensures that direct and indirect nursing care services provide for the client's safety, comfort, hygiene, and protection, and for disease prevention and restorative measures.
- (3) Performs skills essential to the kind of nursing action to be taken, explains the health treatment to the client and family and teaches the client and family how to care for the client's health needs.
- (4) Delegates tasks to subordinates based on the legal scopes of practice of the subordinates and on the preparation and capability needed in the tasks to be delegated, and effectively supervises nursing care being given by subordinates.
- (5) Evaluates the effectiveness of the care plan through observation of the client's physical condition and behavior, signs and symptoms of illness, and reactions to treatment and through communication with the client and health team members, and modifies the plan as needed.
- (6) Acts as the client's advocate, as circumstances require, by initiating action to improve health care or to change decisions or activities which are against the interests or wishes of the client, and by giving the client the opportunity to make informed decisions about health care before it is provided.

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

DRUG

13. Hydromorphone, also known by the brand name Dilaudid, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code Section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(J) and is a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022.

FACTS

14. On or about November 5, 2010, the Board received information from the California Department of Public Health (DPH) that Respondent was among five licensed registered nurses, all employed at Sharp Grossmont Hospital (Sharp) in San Diego, who failed to adhere to the hospital's written policy and procedure in that they all failed to ensure the right medication dose was administered to a patient pursuant to the physician's orders. As a result of the complaint, the Division of Investigation (DOI) conducted an investigation into the allegations.

- 15. Respondent was hired by Sharp on January 16, 2003. As part of his initial and ongoing training, Respondent was responsible for complying with Sharp's Policy and Procedure No. 43109.99 entitled "Pyxis/Pyxis Profile Automated Medication Use: Medications." The purpose of the policy and procedure was to establish best practices for the appropriate utilization of Pyxis Medications and adjunct products such as medication storage, dispensing and charging systems for approved controlled substances, floor stock, and formulary medication, and to establish routine quality assurance for its use.
- 16. Respondent was also responsible for complying with Sharp's Policy and Procedure No. 30035.99 entitled "Medication Administration." The purpose of the policy and procedure was to provide guidelines for the safe and accurate administration of medications to patients and proper documentation in the medical record. This policy and procedure contained a Red Rule, which is a critical behavior in a policy or procedure that is essential to safety. Specifically, in administering medications, the Red Rule required that staff adhere to the "6 Rights" (right patient, right drug, right dose, right route, right time, and right rationale). The nursing staff was required to maintain patients' medication history in Cerner, an electronic medication administration record system used by Sharp.²
- 17. On the morning of October 15, 2010, a 59-year-old female (hereinafter referred to as Patient 309), presented to the Sharp emergency room complaining of a headache and abdominal pain that radiated to her back. Patient 309 was diagnosed with acute pacreatitis and was admitted

^{1 &}quot;Pyxis" is a trade name for the automatic single-unit dose medication dispensing system that records information such as patient name, physician orders, the date and time the medication was withdrawn, and the name of the licensed individual who withdrew and administered the medication. Each user/operator is given a user identification code to operate the control panel. Sometimes only portions of the withdrawn medications are administered to the patient. The portions not administered are referred to as "wastage." Wasted medications must be disposed of in accordance with hospital rules and must be witnessed by another authorized user and recorded in Pyxis.

² An Electronic Medication Administration Record (eMAR) is a point-of-care process that utilizes barcode reading technology to monitor the bedside administration of medications. When a nurse uses this technology, medication orders appear electronically in a patient's chart after pharmacist approval. The technology also alerts nurses electronically if a patient's medication is overdue. Before administering medication, a nurse is required to scan the bar codes on the patient's wristband and then those on the medication itself. If the two do not match the approved medication order, or if it is not time for the patient's next dose, a warning is issued.

as an inpatient at approximately 16:19 hours. At 17:37, the attending physician ordered 0.5 mg hydromorphone every two hours as needed for moderate pain, for a total of four doses. However, the physician entered an order to discontinue the hydromorphone at 18:12. The orders were reviewed and verified by an LVN and a pharmacist.

- 18. At 18:16 hours, the physician ordered hydromorphone (in a 1 mg. syringe) to be administered intravenously every three hours as needed: 0.4 mg for mild pain, 0.6 mg for moderate pain, and 0.8 mg for severe pain.
- 19. Patient 309 was subsequently transferred to Sharp's Nursing Unit (2 East) just after midnight, and was assigned to the care of Nurse Rosario. The 2 East admitting physician continued the order for hydromorphone with the same dosing parameters (0.4 mg for mild pain, 0.6 mg for moderate pain, and 0.8 mg for severe pain).
- 20. At approximately 02:50 on October 16, 2012, Nurse Rosario went to Cerner to access Patient 309's eMAR. Patient 309 had requested pain medication four or five times. When Nurse Rosario opened Patient 309's eMAR, she later reported that she saw text for the physician's orders clumped together and it was hard to read. Nurse Rosario believed she saw an order for 4 mg. hydromorphone. When Nurse Rosario attempted to withdraw 4 mg. of hydromorphone from Pyxis, a dose alert ("speed bump") appeared. Nurse Rosario was required to obtain a witness in order to pull a 4 mg. syringe of hydromorphone (instead of the 1 mg. syringe ordered by the physician). Nurse Binu confirmed the withdrawal of 4 mg. hydromorphone without questioning the speed bump or verifying that it was the correct dosage.
- 21. Respondent had been helping Nurse Rosario with charting and patient care.

 Respondent was present when Nurse Rosario withdrew the 4 mg. hydromorphone from Pyxis.

 Nurse Rosario got called away to attend to a Code Green on one of her patients. Respondent offered to assist Nurse Rosario. She gave Respondent the 4 mg. syringe of hydromorphone and asked Respondent to administer it to Patient 309. Respondent verified the medication on the computer and saw that Nurse Rosario had already charted that it had been administered to Patient 309. Before he gave the medication to Patient 309, Respondent reported that he asked Nurse Judith to verify the information with him. According to Respondent, he and Nurse Judith saw

that 4 mg. of hydromorphone had been charted. Respondent stated that Nurse Rosario had told him that this (dose) was what Patient 309 got in the emergency room. Respondent trusted Nurse Rosario, therefore he did not feel he needed to check the physician's order. Respondent went to Patient 309, verified the patient's name and date of birth, and administered 4 mg. of hydromorphone at around 02:50. Respondent reported that at that time, the patient was calm and asked for cranberry juice. At 03:05, Respondent brought Patient 309 two cranberry juices. She was described as calm, oriented, and thankful for the medication and the cranberry juice.

- 22. At around 04:18, Respondent reported that a Code Blue (for cardiac arrest) was called for Patient 309. Respondent retrieved a crash cart and saw Nurse Rosario administering CPR to Patient 309. The Code Blue Team arrived and took over the situation. Patient 309 was resuscitated, but she had experienced anoxic brain injury and remained unresponsive. Life support was withdrawn on October 18, 2010, and she died that afternoon.
- 23. At 09:23, on October 16, 2010, Nurse Rosario made a late entry in the Cerner eMAR and documented that the dose of hydromorphone had been removed from Pyxis and verified by Nurse Binu, and that the dose had been given to Respondent to be administered to Patient 309.
 - 24. At 09:26, on October 16, 2010, Respondent made a late entry in the Cerner eMAR:

Dose removed from pyxis by [Nurse Rosario]. Dose passed off to Robert Martinez, RN [Respondent] in vial syringe with medication name and dose visable (sic) to Robert Martinez. Robert Martinez verified dose in computer with [Nurse Judith] by looking at Cerner and saw the dose charted by [Nurse Rosario] as 4 mg of Dilaudid before administering. Robert Martinez administered at 0250. Dose was originally charted by [Nurse Rosario] and then uncharted when transferred by [Nurse Rosario]. When Robert Martinez went back to chart the medication had been dithered out [discontinued] and called Pharmacist [] to place back in computer in order to chart. Original order was for Dialudid (sic) 0.4-0.8 mg.

- 25. The Deputy Medical Examiner for San Diego County listed Patient 309's cause of death as arteriosclerotic cardiovascular disease and the manner of death as "natural."
- 26. The Department for Health and Human Services conducted a review of the incident and prepared a summary statement of deficiencies. The report found that the nurses involved in the care of Patient 309 failed to follow written policy and procedure related to medication administration, failed to ensure that medications were administered in accordance with the orders of the practitioner responsible for the patient's care, and failed to ensure that the medication

administration record accurately reflected the medication administration time. The report stated that "The four RN's from 2 East failed to adhere to the hospital's written policy and procedure titled Medication Administration (#30035.99). Specifically [RN Rosario], [RN Binu], [Respondent], and [RN Judith] all failed to ensure that the right dose was administered to Patient 309 as it was prescribed, and when presented an opportunity to stop the medication error failed to verify the correct dose. Additionally, [RN Rosario] charted medication prior to the administration of the medication which was not consistent with the aforementioned policy."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

27. Respondent has subjected his registered nurse license to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1) in that he was grossly negligent, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, in that on or about October 16, 2010, while employed by Sharp, as detailed in paragraphs 14-26, above, Respondent failed to follow written policies and procedures related to medication administration, failed to ensure that medications were administered in accordance with physician's orders, and failed to ensure that the medication administration record accurately reflected the medication administration time. Respondent failed to comply with the hospital's Red Rule which required he adhere to the "6 Rights" (right patient, right drug, right dose, right route, right time, and right rationale). Respondent failed to ensure that the right dose was administered to Patient 309 as it was prescribed, and when presented an opportunity to stop the medication error, he failed to verify the correct dose. Additionally, Respondent failed to properly chart the administration of the medication at the time it was administered. Respondent's actions demonstrated an extreme departure from the standard of care that he knew or should have known could have jeopardized the life or health of Patient 309.

///

| | ///

///

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

28. Respondent has subjected his registered nurse license to disciplinary action for unprofessional conduct under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1) in that he was incompetent, as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, in that on or about October 16, 2010, while employed by Sharp, as detailed in paragraphs 14-26, above, Respondent failed to follow written policies and procedures related to medication administration, failed to ensure that medications were administered in accordance with physician's orders, and failed to ensure that the medication administration record accurately reflected the medication administration time. Respondent failed to comply with the hospital's Red Rule which required he adhere to the "6 Rights" (right patient, right drug, right dose, right route, right time, and right rationale). Respondent failed to ensure that the right dose was administered to Patient 309 as it was prescribed, and when presented an opportunity to stop the medication error, he failed to verify the correct dose. Additionally, Respondent failed to properly chart the administration of the medication at the time it was administered. Respondent failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inaccurate Documentation in Hospital Records)

29. Respondent has subjected his registered nurse license to disciplinary action under section 2762, subdivision (e) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent made grossly incorrect or grossly inconsistent entries in hospital records pertaining to a controlled substance, as detailed in paragraphs 14-26, above.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Administering a Controlled Substance in Excess of a Physician's Order)

30. Respondent has subjected his registered nurse license to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (d) of the Code for unprofessional conduct in that Respondent administered to Patient 309 the controlled substance hydromorphone in an amount that greatly

1	exceeded the physician's order, in violation of Code section 2725, subdivision (b)(2), as detailed		
2	in paragraphs 14-26, above.		
3	PRAYER		
4	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged		
5	and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:		
6	1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 611057, issued to Robert		
7	Carino Martinez;		
8	2. Ordering Robert Carino Martinez to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the		
9	reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and		
10	Professions Code section 125.3;		
11	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
12			
13			
14	DATED: OCTOBER 10, 2012 State Ben-		
15	LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.ED., RN Executive Officer		
16	Board of Registered Nursing Department of Consumer Affairs		
17	State of California Complainant		
18			
19	SD2012703877		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	10		
	d 111		